

PESHAWAR ELECTRIC SUPPLY COMPANY



GRIEVANCE REPORT

AGAINST PESCO TENDER NO. PMU-05/2026-27

FOR PROCUREMENT OF

**132KV STEEL POLES & ZM TOWERS & 220 KV
TOWERS**

P85735

BY

**STANDING GRIEVANCE REDERESSAL COMMITTEE
PESCO HQ**

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION
2. GRIEVANCE
3. GRC RESPONSE
4. CONCLUSION

**GRIEVANCE COMMITTEE REPORT AGAINST TENDER FOR PROCUREMENT OF
132KV STEEL POLES & ZM TOWERS & 220 KV TOWERS P85735**

1. INTRODUCTION

- a. A pre-bid grievance was received on EPADS v2.0 against the subject procurement on 03.09.2026. The mode of procurement is Single Stage Two Envelope procedure.
- b. The Standing Grievance Redressal Committee constituted vide Manager HR PESCO Office Order No14223-28 dated 09.04.2026 is as under:

a) Chief Engineer (P&E) PESCO H/Q	Convener
b) Asst. Manager (Tech.) TSW PESCO H/Q	Member
c) Asst. Manager (Taxation) PESCO H/Q	Member

2. GRIEVANCE (GR0-P85735-001)

The grievance submitted by M/S WS & Co. vide letter No. WS/GRC/PESCO/PMU-05/Poles/UIB dated 03 September 2026 is attached as Annex-A

3. GRC RESPONSE

With reference to the above grievance, a meeting was conducted with the procuring agency. Accordingly, the para-wise comments are as under:

Ground A: Meaning of "Similar or higher rating"

Bidder's contention: The experience criteria on page 29 do not define rating, and experience for a different, higher-voltage lot should not qualify a bidder for the lot under consideration.

PESCO Reply/ Clarification:

It is intimated that as already provided in the **Contractual Experience Criteria** "The Bidder/Manufacture shall demonstrate successful supply experience of 100% of the tendered quantity of similar material, having similar or higher rating, supplied to DISCOs/NGC/PPMC/WAPDA/GENCOS during the last five (05) years, under either a single contract or multiple contracts".

Similarly, as provided in the **Manufacturing Experience Criteria** "The manufacturer must have at least 5 years' manufacturing experience of tendered material having

similar or higher rating. The Experience Criteria and Manufacturing Criteria is clearly stated that the supply experience and the manufacturer of similar material that has been tendered.

Further clarification is that the supplier and Manufacturer has experience of the tender material i.e for 132 kV Steel Tubular Poles, the supply and manufacturer experience shall be in 132kV Steel Tubular Poles or higher rating of Steel Tubular Poles, similarly for 132 kV Steel ZM type towers, the supply and manufacturer experience shall be in 132kV ZM type towers or higher rating of Towers and for 220kV Type Towers the supply and manufacturer experience shall be in 220 kV Type towers or higher rating of Towers.

Ground B: Unidentified specifications and revisions

The WAPDA/NGC specifications are as under:

For 132kV Steel Tubular Poles = P-166-83 (Amended to date)

For 132kV ZM type towers = P-139-80 (Amended to date)

For 220kV Transmission Line Towers = P-163-82 (Amended to date)

Ground C: Evaluation criteria and the 100-mark entry

Total Marks =100

Passing Marks =100

The 100 Marks is for Eligibility criteria and Technical specifications requirements, which is already provided in the Bidding documents. The Bidder is required to meet all the conditions of eligibility criteria and Technical specifications. If the Bidder failed to provide/meet any eligibility requirement and Technical specifications, then the Bidder shall be considering as Non-Responsive and his marks will be zero out of hundred.

Ground D: Domestic Preference, Rule 24

The domestic preference will be as per Federal Govt applicable rules and policies and/or as per PPRA rules for National Competitive Bidding. The applicable percentage will be as per S.R.O.827(I)/2001 Clause (3) and as per EDB rules and regulations where applicable as per Law.

Ground E: Verification of Operational certificates


As the required experience is within Pakistan, therefore PESCO evaluation committee will send verification letters and Emails for verification where required.


Ground F: Supply capacity, reference date and pipeline orders

It is the responsibility of PESCO Evaluation Committee, to examine and verify the information and supporting documents submitted by the bidder and to satisfy itself regarding the bidder's available supply/manufacturing capacity. Where necessary, PESCO may seek documentary evidence or independently verify the information from the relevant sources.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the para-wise clarifications provided by PESCO, the grievance may be **treated as addressed/resolved**, as the procuring agency has clarified each ground raised by the bidder, including the interpretation of "similar or higher rating," applicable specifications, evaluation criteria, domestic preference, verification of experience, and assessment of supply/manufacturing capacity. No substantive ambiguity remains in the bidding requirements requiring amendment at this stage.


Sami Ullah
Asst. Manager (Taxation)
Finance Directorate PESCO
(Member)


Alishpa Khattak
Asst. Manger (Tech)
TSW PESCO
(Member)


Asif Jan Marwat
Chief Engineer (P&E)
PESCO H/Q
(Convenor)